

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,800	12/27/2005	Ludwig Boge	3081.114US01	8931
24113 7:	590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	I, THUENTE, SKAAR	RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-2100		2856	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,800	BOGE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert R. Raevis	2856			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 13 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-12 and 14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	vn from consideration.				
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4-25-05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "1" (p. 11, line 21) should read –21--; "manifold" (p. 11, line 29) can not be correct, as there is not fluid system; "16" (p. 12, line 15) to –13--; "42" (p. 14, line 33) to –43--; "14" (p. 15, line 8) is not correct.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, how can "said sensing head" (line 4 from last) be "releasably connected" (line 3 from last) with "said sensing head" (line 2 from last)? (How can anything be "connected" to itself?) Possibly, the "or" (line 2 from last) should be deleted.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ochiai et al.

Ochaia et al teach a length measurement system that includes sensing head 15/11 and rule 4, the head secured to a first "machine" (col. 1, line 7) part via mounting hole 12 and the rule connectable with a second machine part via mounting hole 5,7,8 during assembly, the method including mounting the rule within a part 3 which is mountable to the second maching part via holes 5,7,8, and into which the sensing head

Art Unit: 2856

protrudes from, and positioning (i.e. adjusting) the head relative to the rule 4. The head is supported by the second machine part.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shimano et al teach a sensing head 35,34,47 connected to a first machine part 3, a rule 12 connected to a second machine part 4, and the sensor sensing the rule. The rule is mounted within a ("profiled") part 2 which is mounted to the second machine part and into which the sensor 35,34,47 protrudes. The sensing head is support (in contrast to Applicant's "without being supported" limitation of claim 14) by part 2 via elements 14,12,38,39. However, Shimano does not provide for either the last 4 lines ("said sensing head…thereon") of claim 1, the last two lines of claim 13, or the last line ('without being supported thereon") of claim 14.

Tsukiji et al teach (Figure 2) a rule 61 and sensing head 68.

Ernst teaches (Figure 2, and ABSTRACT) a position-measuring device that employs adjusting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30am to 3pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,800

Art Unit: 2856

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams, can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROWA